

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/756,931	01/09/2001	Edward Barnes Boden	END9 1995 0140 US2	6773	
. , " 7	590 03/31/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
IBM COROPORATION			ALVAREZ, RAQUEL		
INTELLECTU	AL PROPERTY LAW DE	PT. 917, BLDG. 006-1			
3605 HIGHWAY 52 NORTH			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ROCHESTER	MN 55901-7829		3622		

DATE MAILED: 03/31/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				_			
Office Action Summary		Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		09/756,931	BODEN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Raquel Alvarez	3622 NG)			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with t	he c rrespondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repoper of the provision of the p	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply bely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tte, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed i) days will be considered timely, from the mailing date of this communicat ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.			
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1/9	/2001. 4/6/2001 and 7/18/2001					
	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)	,						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-7,9-27,29-39,41 and 60-62 is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-7,9-27,29-39,41 and 60-62 are su	awn from consideration.	on requirement.				
	ion Papers		•				
	The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		he Examiner				
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		• •	1(d).			
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Appl iority documents have been red au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this National Stage	17			
Attachmer	nt(s)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum					
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 er No(s)/Mail Date		ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/756,931 Page 2

Art Unit: 3622

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-7, 9-23, 26, 40-41, drawn to displaying and highlighting a business process, classified in class 705, subclass 7.
 - II. Claim 24, drawn to a business process impacted by a policy, classified in class 705, subclass 7.
 - III. Claims 25, 27 and 60-62, drawn to interactions and inter-relationship of 2 business processes, classified in class 705, subclass 7.
 - IV. Claims 22, 29-38, drawn to evaluation of a Boolean value, classified in class 705, subclass 7.
 - V. Claim 39, drawn to naming a group of points, classified in class 705, subclass 7.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

 Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together
 in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are
 shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such
 as displaying and highlighting a business process. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as displaying and highlighting a business process. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Application/Control Number: 09/756,931 Page 3

Art Unit: 3622

4. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as displaying and highlighting a business process. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

- 5. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and V are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as displaying and highlighting a business process. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for each independently have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by different classification and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purpose as indicated is proper.
- 7. Since the restriction is complex and examiner knows from past experience that an election will not be made by telephone, this restriction is proper under MPEP 812.01.

Point of contact

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raquel Alvarez whose telephone number is (703)305-0456. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:00.

Art Unit: 3622

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric w Stamber can be reached on (703)305-8469. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Raquel Alvarez

Examiner Art Unit 3622

R.A. 3/29/04